UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,061	04/04/2005	John Sidney	2060.0330002/EKS/MM	7448
	7590 09/10/200 SLER, GOLDSTEIN &	EXAMINER		
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			BRISTOL, LYNN ANNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/530,061	SIDNEY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LYNN BRISTOL	1643	

zororo uno i ming or an improan ziror	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LYNN BRISTOL	1643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to on application, applicant must timely file one of the following in the proof of the pro	the same day as filing a Notice of Areplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit	Appeal. To avoid abar c, or other evidence, w	hich places the
 application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed to		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on 14 July 2008. A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or at Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a final rejection of the final rejection rejection of the final rejection rejection rejection rejection. 	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	E below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12			PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 18 and 20-29. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea , and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> 	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but Enablement 112, 1st paragraph. Applicants have amend peptides eight to thirteen residues in length" and where a Markush group of Claim 1. Applicants amondment does	ded the claims to recite that the com at least one of the peptides of the c	position comprises "composition is a specie	one or more es selected from
Markush group of Claim 1. Applicants amendment does recitation in Claim 1 "CTL epitope" impliedly requires that specific and CTL immunogenic and further as defined in demonstrated the genus of peptides falling within the recorder to be a "CTL epitope.".	at each peptide actually posses the the specificaiton as having MHC bi	inherent property of to nding ability. Applicar	peing T-cell nts have not
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/David J Blanchard/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080908

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): rejection of claims 1, 5-13, 18 and 20-29 under 112, 1st paragraph, written description .